App. F. IX-4

Previous References
mr 561-15-1-17
u 79-02

1931-32
(Mrz.)

File No. 66-31
Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for September-31

SUBJECT.

Arrival of Sri Sivalee Vyasa Gana Yogi Agra Mahajana in the Temple of and during the Annual Ratotsava of Sri Ganjanana Sivakamaeei Temple at Jaffna

To Mrz Comr

G.O No 4168 of 24.9.31

accepts the Mcl finding.

~~Council~~ that the — is not established and Comm. to be reinstated.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Muz File No. of 1931-2

Serial No.

Letter no 1061/22-23 dt 19.8.31 from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding the correspondence connected with the claim of the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasaraja Swami Mutt for Agramanyaise during the Rathotsava of Sri Gunjanarasimha Swami at T. Narasipura and stating that the contention of the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasaraja Swami Mutt for first honours in the temple and on the Car on the particular Rathotsava day has not been established and cannot therefore be admitted.

(1) Laid for orders

(2) The M.C's views may be upheld.

H. Secy (3) VS 5.9.31

2nd. member (4) h
Dewan 7.9.31.

VS

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553--50,000—GPB—8-7 30

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. — of 193.

Serial No.

5) This is an old case relating to a claim put forward by the Sri Vyasarayaswami regarding certain honours during the Rathostavam of Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami at T.-Narasipur in the year 1915 which has been under enquiry and correspondence since then and it is time that it is finally disposed of I have had occasion to go into the case fully when I dealt with it as Muzrai Commissioner and am satisfied that every opportunity was given to the Mutha to prove its case and that the matter was explored from almost every point of view. The conclusion finally arrived at by the Deputy Commissioner with which I concurred has been the result of very careful and elaborate investigation and it may be accepted.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 1931 14 SEP. 1931

6) Sd/- 11/9

VS

Dft recording in papers perush

Sd/- 14/9

H. Secy)

VS 14.9.31

1/9

Issue

VS 17.9.31

TYPING SECTION 17. SEP. 193 GEN'L

2 G.O. no 416 dt 21. 9. 1931. Accepting the proposal.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 02553—G.P.B.—50,000—8-7-30

File No. 66 of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Vol 3

Finding on the Claim of the Swamigals of the Sri Vyasaraja-Swami Mutt for Agraharyadas in the Sri Ganjam Aravindaswami temple at T. Narsipur

Loose papers on the file have been placed in MS 561 of 15 + 79-02

23/5/31

M. C. 13]

No 1061 22-23 Encs 5 files

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore 19th August 193

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., L.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2101/Fun.561-15-16 dated 25th January 1930 forwarding for report the entire correspondence connected with the claim of the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha for agra-mareyada etc., during the Rathotsava of Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami at T. Narasipur, I have the honor to submit herewith an original for reference and return correspondence ending with letter No.1887/A.C. dated 18th January 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District giving cover to the detailed report submitted by the Sub Division Officer. He is of the opinion that the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha has not been able to produce any proof in support of its claim beyond a vague statement that such was the Mamool more than two decades ago and a general statement on first principles that Grihastas should yield precedence to yatis.

The report submitted by the Sub Division Officer after enquiry explains the facts of the case in sufficient detail. Briefly stated, the facts are as follows:-

In May 1903 Srikanta Jois and other residents of T. Narsipur memorialised His Highness the Maharaja complaining of the stoppage contrary to established Mamool of the agra Theertha honors to the Sri Sringeri and Sri Vyasarayaswami Mathas in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple at the place. After elaborate enquiry and affording more than one opportunity to both the mathas, Government in their No.795-3/Fun. 79-02 dated 10th September 1915 observed that neither of the two mathas has proved enjoyment of agra-theertha to the exclusion of their opponents

5

the temple and directed that the right now asserted of appointing gumastas to receive a prathirtha cannot be recognized.

On 18-10-1915 the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha sent a Sri mukha to the then Muzrai Superintendent and requested that the long established practice of giving him the first honors, i.e., Parivatt, Male, Tirtha and Prasada when the deity was brought on to the car on the annual rathotsava day at T. Narsipur may be ordered to be continued.

3. An enquiry was then ordered by Government. The then Muzrai Superintendent and Secretary to Government (Mr. T. E. Jeyarama Iyer conducted the enquiry and recorded the evidence of witnesses both on behalf of the Sri Vyasarayaswami and Sri Parakalaswami Mathas in -- December 1919 but it was not completed. After some intermediate correspondence the Deputy Commissioner was requested in the Muzrai Secretary's letter No.644/ Muz.561-15-9 dated 17th August 1920 to obtain certain dakhalas bearing on the subject and submit them. As in the meanwhile, the Muzrai Commissioner's office was formed, the entire papers were sent here for report. In this office letter dated 30th January 1923, the Deputy Commissioner was requested to complete the enquiry started by Mr. Jeyarama Iyer and submit a report together with his opinion on the claim of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha. ---- Agreeing with the views expressed by the Sub Division Officer, the - Deputy Commissioner (Mr. B. Ramaswamiya) reported that when the --- Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha and the gumasta of the Sri Parakala Mathas happen to be present in the temple simultaneously on any occasion, a prathirtha honor should first be shown to the former and then to the latter and that if the Sri Parakala Swamigalu himself happened to be present, the mariyadas should be shown to him in the first instance. It was thereupon pointed out to him in this office letter of the 23rd Aug 1924 that the point at issue was not as to who should be shown first honors in the temple if the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha and the gumasta of the Sri Parakala -- Matha happened to be present simultaneously on any occasion and that that point had been finally disposed of in Government Order dated - 10th September 1915 but the point for consideration and orders was whether

whether the Swamigalu of the Sri V[illegible]yasa[illegible] Matha was entitled to first honors on the car on the occasion of the annual car-festival of Sri Gunja Narasi[illegible]swami in preference to the Swamigalu of the Sri ---- Parakala Matha. The Deputy Commissioner was requested to complete the enquiry in this respect and furnish his considered opinion. The Deputy Commissioner (Mr. K.V.Ananteraman) has come to the conclusion that the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha has not made out a case as against the Sri Parakala Swami Matha.

4. Beyond the oral statement of Vedi Sudararaja Char, Upadhya Narasimhachar and a few others, no documentary evidence has been -- produced by the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha to substantiate its claim.

In his written reply dated 2nd December 1924 the Manager of the Sri Parakalaswami Matha while stating that the matter in dispute has already been settled, submits that, except the agamik and other essential servants of the temple, none others are permitted to go on the car and that the alleged practice of the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha going up the car is opposed to agama sastras and the practice in vogue. The agent has also produced certain ----- Dakhalas (Vide annexed list.).

These documents have bearing on the right of the Sri Parakala Matha for agra mariyades in the temple proper and in none of these -- any reference has been made to the practice that obtained on the ----- Rathotsava day. These documents are not therefore of any help in -- regard to the point now under consideration.

5. As regards the argument advanced by the Sri Parakala Matha -- that except the essential temple servants no one should go up the car when the deity is taken there, the agamiks of the office opine that except acharyas (meaning agamiks,, archaks, parichcharakas and Veda ---- Parayana men, no one else should go up the car and that there is no -- provision in agama Sastras permitting yatis to go on the car when the deity is seated there and that the manual prevailing in such -- institutions should be respected also.

6. With a view to afford further opportunities to both the -- mathas to represent their respective claims, the case is posted to

to 30th April 1930 and was adjourned to 26th July 1930 and then again to 13th and 21st August 1930. On the last date of hearing the Respondent i.e., the Sri Parakala Matha was represented by its agent and advocate and there was no one on behalf of the Sri Vyasaraya swami matha. It was thought desirable to give the latter an opportunity of getting the opinion of the agamis. It was therefore ordered that a copy of the agamis opinion be sent to both the Mathas.

7. The replies received from both are enclosed herein. The Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami matha says that the agamik's opinion can only prevail if a prohibitory injunction is pointed out by them against yatis getting on the car, but there can not be found any authoritative pronouncement on the point, and that when pipers and other non-brahmins are allowed to go up the car, it might be conceded that there could be no prohibition for the yatis to go up the car. The Honorary Superintendent of the Sri Parakalaswami Matha states that according to the agama Sastras no one else except the agamik, archak etc., can get on cars even though of higher standing and exceptional merit.

8. As regards the practice in individual institutions, the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha states that there are records in Government offices incorporating statements of temple servants admitting his right to get up the car and receive the honors which prove the case in this temple. As already stated the statements of the temple servants and others who have been examined are conflicting and there is clear evidence to show that they have taken up sides. It is therefore not possible to place much credence on these statements.

9. As the question of first honors in this temple in particular has been finally set at rest by Government Order Nos.783-6/Luz.79-02 dated 10th September 1915 and the claim of the Sri Parakala Matha for first honors in all the Vishnu temples in the State has been recognised in Government Order No.531-46/Luz.39-09-4 dated 19th August 1915, I am of opinion that the contention of the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matha for first honors in the temple and on the car on the ----- rathotsava day of Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami has not been established

and

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible].

10. The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] --
and return.

I [illegible],
Sir,
Your obedient servant,
Jhanjiagoram
Muzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

9

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No.1061/28-23 dated 19th August 1931 from the Muzrai Commissioner reporting on the claim of the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt for Agra maryade etc. in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple at ~~the~~ T. Narasipur and on the car on the annual Rathothsava day of the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami, and stating that he agrees with the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore in holding the -- contention of the Swamigalu for the honours referred to has not been established and cannot be admitted.

Order No. 416/Muzr 66-31-2 Enc. Fwd. file

Dated, Bangalore, 18th/21 September 1931.

Government accept the Muzrai Commissioner's findings.

V Subrahmanyasastri
Financial Secretary to Government.
C-1

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with records.

M BG.2.

10

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT ... .

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sec. Sec 3

File No: 66-31

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter No 1061/22-23 dt 19.8.31 from the M.C. Mysore ending with letter No 1-27/A dt 18.1.1930 from the C. Mysore forwarding Cover to a detailed Report on the claim of the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Mutt for ~~fish bazaar~~ Agra Mangade Pete in the Sri Gunjanarasimha Swami Temple at Narasipura and on the Car on the

Date of issue 20.9.31

Signed by Srs. V.S

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

~~Order Thereon No.~~

annual Rathotsavadey
of the Sri Ganja Narasimha Swamy, and
stating that ~~no documentary~~ he agrees with the
evidence has been produced D.C. Mysore in
by the Sri Vyasarajaswamy holding
to substantiate its claim
mutt is beyond the oral
Statements of Messrs Skandaraja Achar
Upadhya Narasimhachar & a few others
~~and stating that~~ the Contention
of the Swamigalu ~~of the Sri Ahobilamutt~~
~~mutt~~ for the honours &c. to ~~be~~
has not been established
and cannot ~~therefore~~ be
admitted ~~for the returns for the~~
~~year~~.

List of enclosure

*Received 4½ Encs. 7 files & 21.9.31

~~Recorded~~ Govt. accept the M. C's finding."

14.9.31

~~To see Govt~~
If Desn

To

Copied by

The M. C. with Record

Examined b

G.S
14/9

WD 02560 -GPB --11,250--2-7-30